UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/555,244                  | 10/31/2005                | Winfried Miller      | 3024-114            | 3986             |
| 46002<br>JOYCE VON N        | 7590 07/02/201<br>VATZMER | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| PEQUIGNOT -                 |                           | ARIANI, KADE         |                     |                  |
| 200 Madison A<br>Suite 1901 | venue                     | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| New York, NY                | 10016                     | 1651                 |                     |                  |
|                             |                           |                      |                     |                  |
|                             |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |                           |                      | 07/02/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/555,244      | MILLER, WINFRIED |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |  |
| KADE ARIANI     | 1651             |  |  |

|  | 10.827117111   | 1001   |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the d   | correspondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |   |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance<br>CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or   | hich places the (3) a Request                               |
| a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |  |   |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | g date of the final rejection  | n.  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL   | f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate in the final Office in the final | e extension fee<br>ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |   |
| <ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims without cancel content of the present additional claims are content of the present additional claims are content of the present of the present additional claims are content of the present of the pres</li></ul> | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯<br>w);<br>ter form for appeal by materially red   | ΓE below);<br>ducing or simplifying th   |   |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Greenberg.   |  |  | •   |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | lowable if submitted in a separate,  | timely filed amendmer  | nt canceling the  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-25,28-33 and 35-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  | l be entered and an ex   | xplanation of   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is control. As application.  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear<br>y and was not earlier presented. Se   | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)   | s to provide a<br>).  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after ei   | ntry is below or attach  | ea.   |
| <ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br/>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowan  | ce because:   |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |   |
|  | /Leon B Lankford/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | Init 1651  |   |

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

## Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-25, 28-33 and 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenberg (US Patent No. 5, 569,458) in view of Shahid et al. (J Assoc Physicians India, 2002, Vol. 50, p.527-531) and further in view of Rayman, M. P. (The Lancet, 2000, Vol. 356, p. 233-241) and Vetvicka et al. (JANA, 2002, Vol. 5, No.2, p.5-9) and Ochao et al. (Journal of Parenteral & Enteral Nutrition, 2001, Vol. 25, No. 1, p.23-29) and Birt et al. (Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 2001, Vol. 90, p.157-177) and Jensen et al. (J. Nutr., 1999, Vol. 129, p.1355-1360), and Hughes et al. (The Journal of infectious diseases, 2000, Vol. 182, Suppl. 1, S11-S15). The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.